

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/845,938	KABANOV ET AL.
	Examiner Q. Janice Li, M.D.	Art Unit 1633

All Participants:

(1) Q. Janice Li PTO.. (3) _____

(2) Christopher S. Casieri, Appl. Rep. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 March 2007

Status of Application: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

§ 112, 2nd paragraph.

Claims discussed:

29, 32, 34

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Q. JANICE LI, M.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claims recite trademark need to be deleted. The examiner suggested to simply delete the trademark term "pluronic" since the contents of F127 and L61 have been described in the specification, such as the table in page 30 of the specification. Mr. Casieri authorized the change by an examiner's amendment..